On Performance and Robustness of Internet-Based Smart Grid Communication: A Case Study for Germany

Sebastian Meiling, Thomas C. Schmidt, and Till Steinbach {smeiling, t.schmidt, steinbach}@ieee.org

> iNET RG, Department of Computer Science Hamburg University of Applied Sciences

November, 4th 2015 — IEEE SmartGridComm



Hochschule für Angewandte Wissenschaften Hamburg Hamburg University of Applied Sciences

## Outline

### 1 Motivation and Problem Statement

- Internet Topology of the Energy Sector
- **3** Properties of Customer Access Networks
- 4 Conclusion and Outlook

### Motivation Internet-based SmartGridComm

- Smart Grid applications require out-of-band communication:
  - bi-directional data flow utilizing network technologies
  - contrary to in-band control of *classic* power grids
- The Internet is a promiment deployment canditate:
  - proofed scalability and self-healing capabilities
  - cost efficient for large scale deployments
- Today, most countries consider Power Grids and the Internet as critical parts of their national infrastructure

### Problem statement Challenges of Internet-based SmartGridComm

- Power grids developed along national borders
- Internet infrastructure globally distributed
- Coupling power grids with the Internet introduces new threats
- Power outage lead to network outages, causing further power failures
- Performance and robustness of energy-related Internet infrastructure
  - company networks, customer networks, inter-connectivity
  - match requirements of Smart Grid applications

# Internet Topology of the Energy Sector



### Passive Measurement Workflow



### Numerical Findings Overview on retrieved Data

	# companies	#with IPs	#IP blocks	#AS
Electric Utility	463	218	459	88
Energy Sector	889 1354	432 652	762 1050	112

Around 50 % of the German energy sector are individually visible

■ Coverage of utility companies with > 90 % share in the market

### Assessing Robustness Methodology and Background

- Quantify failure resistance of networks and inter connectivity
- Links or peerings per network (AS) : degree
- Significance of AS for connectivity : betweenness
- Distinct paths between networks : disjoint paths



### Network Robustness Betweenness and degree



- High ranking network exhibit high betweenness and degree
- Weakness: regional ISP and specialized IT services with low degree

## Connectivity Robustness Disjoint paths

- Energy sector exhibits more disjoint paths than national average
- 80 % > 1 path, 20 % > 10 paths
- Overall denser connectivity and higher robustness



### Active Measurements

# Properties of Access Networks



### iNET — HAW Hamburg

# Active Measurement

- Assume Internet-based SmartGridComm at households
- Use-cases: metering, monitoring, demand response, VPP
- Deployed 30 probes in metropolitan area of Hamburg
- 9 different ISPs, varying bandwidths, and technologies
- Long-term active measurements, aimed at minimal impact
- Analysis of availability, responsiveness, and connectivity

## Availability Length of downtimes

- Periodic updates by each probe
- Random and unrelated failures
- Often only single probe effected
- Overall 90 % downtimes below 1h
- Mean availability per day > 99 %



### Responsiveness Delay and Jitter



- One-way delay to assess delay asymmetries during send and receive
- Mean delays at receiver: 22 ms, and sender 20 ms, lower bound  $\approx$  6ms
- Lower jitter at sender, broad jitter distribution at receiver

### Data Flow Path characteristics

- Paths between all probes
- Intra ISP paths much shorter
- Inter ISP paths mostly via DE-CIX
- smaller ISPs tend to foreign IXPs
- Impacts performance & security



# Conclusion and Outlook

- Top-down and bottom-up assessment of communication infrastructure
- Analysis of failure resistance and properties of customer network
- Denser connectivity & higher robustness of energy-related networks
- Suitable availability (> 99 %) and responsiveness of customer network
- Extend analysis to other countries and on continental scale
- Investigate dedicated attack vectors and countermeasures



### Requirements for Smart Grids by U.S. Department of Energy in [1]

Smart Grid application	ideal latency [ms]			
real-time metering	12	to	20	
real-time monitoring	20	to	200	
demand response	500	to	2000	
in-home applications	2000	to	15000	

## Evaluate disjoint paths Transforming a ToR graph



## Comparing Unicast OWD Local, national, and continental



### Meiling

#### iNET — HAW Hamburg

# References

- U.S. Department of Energy, "Communication Requirements of Smart Grid Technologies," http://energy.gov/gc/downloads/communications-requirements-smart-grid-technologies, Oct. 2010.
- S. Meiling, T. C. Schmidt, and T. Steinbach, "On Performance and Robustness of Internet-Based Smart Grid Communication: A Case Study for Germany," in 6th IEEE Int. Conf. on Smart Grid Communications (SmartGridComm'15). Piscataway, NJ, USA: IEEE Press, Nov. 2015, pp. 300–305.
- S. Meiling, T. Steinbach, T. C. Schmidt, and M. Wählisch, "A Scalable Communication Infrastructure for Smart Grid Applications using Multicast over Public Networks," in *Proc. of ACM Symposium on Applied Computing (SAC'13), Poster Session.* New York: ACM, March 2013.
- S. Meiling, T. Steinbach, M. Duge, and T. C. Schmidt, "Consumer-Oriented Integration of Smart Homes and Smart Grids: A Case for Multicast-Enabled Home Gateways?" in 3rd IEEE Int. Conf. on Consumer Electronics - Berlin (ICCE-Berlin'13). Piscataway, NJ, USA: IEEE Press, Sep. 2013, pp. 279–283.
- S. Meiling, T. C. Schmidt, and M. Wählisch, "Large-Scale Measurement and Analysis of One-Way Delay in Hybrid Multicast Networks," in 37th Annual IEEE Conf. on Local Computer Networks (LCN'12). Piscataway, NJ, USA: IEEE Press, Oct. 2012.